

CITATION (2001) 4 KLR

ISSN 1117-11530

# **KINGS LAW REPORTS**

**(ALL SC/NOVEL CA)**

**(2001) KLR VOL 4 PART 119 pp. 985 - 1166**

**APRIL 2001**

**Dedicated to the King of kings**

**O. O. NOEL ESQ. Chief Editor**

## **INDEX OF CASES REPORTED**

1. Jekpe v, Alokwe p. 985
2. Ogunsina v. Matanmi p. 1007
3. First Bank of Nigeria Plc v. May Medical Clinics & Diagnostic Centre Limited p. 1023
4. Bamaiyi v. State p. 1043
5. Obulor v. Oboro p. 1071
6. Osagunna v. Governor of Ekiti State p. 1081
7. Boothia Maritime Inc. v. Fareast Mercantile Co. Ltd p. 1107
8. Tobby v. State p. 1137
9. Abimbola v. Abatan p. 1149

## **2      INDEX OF SUBJECT MATTER IN (2001) 4 KLR**

APPEALS - Concurrent findings of fact - Have not been faulted in any way - So the plaintiff's claim must fail (H5) Abimbola v. Abatan p. 1149

APPEALS - Dismissal - Death of appellant - An appeal shall not be dismissed - For the mere reason that the appellant is dead (H 3) Osagunna v. Governor of Ekiti State p. 1081

APPEALS - Duty of appellate court - Is to take a dispassionate look at the real issue - So as to arrive at the justice of the matter - As it rightly did in this case (H 1) Obulor v. Oboro p. 1071

APPEALS - Error - Court of Appeal was in error - As to have failed to consider and pronounce upon the issues formulated by the appellant (H 4) Bamaiyi v. State p. 1043

APPEALS - Error - Evaluation of evidence - The trial judge corrected the error - In the first part of his judgment - By further evaluating all the evidence - Before dismissing the claim finally - And so occasioned no miscarriage of justice (H 2) Jekpe v. Alokwe p. 985

APPEALS - Error of trial judge - Where the trial judge dismissed plaintiff's entire claim - Without evaluating evidence - He was in error - And retrial would have been ordered - If he had closed his judgment at that stage (H 1) Jekpe v. Alokwe p. 985

APPEALS - Error - Retrial - Procedure adopted by the trial court - Was merely a technical error - Which was not inconsistent with the rights of the parties - And does not warrant an order for retrial (H 5) Jekpe v. Alokwe p. 985

APPEALS - Evidence - Affidavits evidence - Contradictions - In the circumstances of this case - The court below was wrong to choose one side of the story - Without giving opportunity to resolve the contradictions (H 2) First Bank v. May Medical p. 1023

APPEALS - Findings of lower courts - Are amply supported - By abundant evidence on record - From all the witnesses (H 4) Abimbola v. Abatan p. 1149

APPEALS - Interference - Bail - It would have been wrong for the Court

. of Appeal to interfere with the discretion of the learned trial judge in refusing bail - To the appellant (H 6) Bamaïyi v. State p. 1043

APPEALS - Issue - Where already discussed - The court will not consider it separately again (H 8) Ogunsina v. Matanrni p. 1007

APPEALS - Issues - Court should pronounce on all material issues raised \_ The Court of Appeal defaulting on that would depend on the facts and circumstances of each case (H 1) Bamaïyi v. State p. 1043

APPEALS - Issues - Failure to consider all material issues - May not occasion a miscarriage of justice (H 2) Bamaïyi v. State p. 1043

APPEALS - Issues for determination - Failure to consider an issue - Did not occasion a miscarriage of justice (H 5) Bamaïyi v. State p. 1043

APPEALS - Judgment - As the judgment is lost - Due to fault of a trial court - The court of appeal was wrong - In making it impossible for the appeal to be heard - And must hear the appeal on all lawful grounds of appeal (H 3) First Bank v. May Medical p. 1023

APPEALS - Judgment - Errors - Only substantial errors - That occasion miscarriage of justice - Will warrant interference by appellate court (H 7) Abimbola v. Abatan p. 1149

APPEALS - Reversal of judgment - Of trial court - Was rightly done - Because it was not supported by evidence (H 2) Obulor v. Oboro p. 1071

APPEALS - Remark by court - A mere passing remark - Should not be appealable - But should be ignored (H 2) Boothia Inc. v. Fareast Ltd p. 1107

APPEALS - Suo motu issues - Must not be raised by the court - And resolved without hearing the parties (H 6) Abimbola v. Abatan p. 1149

CLAIMS - Death of a plaintiff - Application for substitution of such plaintiff - Depends on whether the claim survives the plaintiff (H1) Osagunna v. Governor of Ekiti State p. 1081

CRIMINAL PROCEDURE - Plea - Non compliance with the provisions of the law - As to plea taking - Will vitiate a trial and render it null and void (H 2) *Tobby v. State* p. 1137

B CRIMINAL PROCEDURE - Plea - Requirements of a valid plea - Must be strictly complied with - In all criminal trials (H I) *Tobby v. State* p. 1137

C CUSTOMARY LAW -Land law –Customary tenancy -An absolute grant of land - Not limited by any condition - Is inconsistent with customary tenancy - As in this case (H 3) *Abimbola v. Abatan* p. 1149

D CUSTOMARY LAW - Land law - Customary tenancy - May be established - Without the payment of tribute (H 2) *Abimbola Y. Abatan* p. 1149

DEMURRER - Evidence - Is not to be introduced or looked at - In a demurrer proceeding - Only statement of claim should be considered (H1)

E EVIDENCE - Admissibility - Inadmissible evidence - Admission of exhibits without objection - Neither the parties nor the trial court has the power to admit a document inadmissible 111 law (H 4) *Ogunsina v. Maiannu* p. 1007

F EVIDENCE - Affidavit - Containing diametrically opposing material facts - Must be resolved by viva voce evidence - Not by picking and choosing which to believe (H I) *First Bank v May Medical* p. 1023

G EVIDENCE - Affidavit - Extraneous paragraphs in contravention or section 87 of the Evidence Act - Should be struck out (H 3) *Bamaiyi v State* p. 1043

H EVIDENCE - Consideration or - Having rightly declared the Exhibits or “no moment” for non registration - The trial judge adopted the right approach - In considering evidence of the relevant customary laws (H6) *Jekpe v. Alokwe* P. 985

EVIDENCE - Exhibits - Probative value - Placed on wrongfully admitted exhibits - Is immaterial - As the decision would be the same - If they were not admitted (H 5) Ogunsina v. Matanmi p. 1007

EVIDENCE - Exhibits - Wrongful admission of exhibits - Did not occasion any miscarriage of justice or affect the decision of the court (H 6) Ogunsina v. Matanmi p. 1007 B

EVIDENCE - Identity of land in dispute - The evidence of the witnesses on record \_ Has sufficiently described the boundaries of the land (H 2) Ogunsina v. Matanmi p. 1007 C

EVIDENCE - Pleadings - Facts pleaded - Where the facts pleaded did not make reference to facts contained in Exhibits - The Exhibits should not be admissible (H 3) Ogunsina v. Matanmi p. 1007 D

JUDGMENTS - Findings by trial court - The trial court was right to have preferred the story of the respondents CH 1) Ogunsina v. Matanmi p. 1007 E

JUDGMENTS - Pleadings - Judgment of a court - Must be based on only things pleaded - And supported by clear evidence CH 3) Obulor v. Oboro p. 1071

JUDGMENTS - Procedure or style - Adopted by the court - In writing its judgment - Is not material - Once some important considerations are made (H 3) Jekpe v. Alokwe p. 985 F

JUDICIAL PRECEDENTS - Distinguishing - The procedure adopted in this case - Is distinguishable from that adopted in Mogaji v. Odofin - And occasioned no miscarriage of justice (H4) Jekpe v. Alokwe p. 985 G

LOCUS STANDI - Actions - Bill of lading - Act of transfer of bill of lading to a clearing agent - Cannot divest the plaintiff of the right to sue - For loss of some of the goods (H 4) Boothia Inc. v. Fareast Ltd p. 1107 H

LOCUS STANDI \_ Demurrer proceedings - Agency - Where there is

no evidence that the plaintiff principal - Has divested itself of the right to sue \_ The action will not be struck out for want of locus (H 3) Boothia Inc. v , Fareast Ltd p. 1107

B ORDERS - Injunctions - Where a necessary ancillary order to protect title is made - Respondents are entitled to such order of injunction (H 7) Ogunsina v. Matanmi p. 1007

C PRACTICE & PROCEDURE - Burden of proof - As nothing in the defendants case - Supported that of the plaintiff - Plaintiff must rely on the strength of his 0\\011 case (H 1) Abimbola v. Abatan p. 1149

D PRACTICE & PROCEDURE - Substitution - Appeal- The applicants will fail - If they have not shown that they are the persons entitled to be substituted (H 2) Osagunna v. Governor of Ekiti State p. 1081

E PROPERTY LAW - Bill of lading - Passing of property in the goods - Cannot be presumed by mere delivery of the bill of lading - To the clearing agent (H S) Boothia Inc. v. Fareast Ltd p. 1107

## F **INDEX OF STATUTES & RULES**

Constitution of Nigeria 1979 S.33 (6)(a) & (e) Tobby v. State p. 1137

Evidence Act S.86 Boothia Inc. v. Fareast Ltd p. 1107

G Criminal Procedure Law of Cross River State S.21S Tobby v. State p. 1137

H Federal High Court (Civil Procedure) Rules, 1976 Order 27 Boothia Inc. v. Fareast Ltd p. 1107